

March 16, 2026

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Stormwater Permits Team Leader (MC-148)
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Phase II MS4 Annual Report Transmittal for the City of Schertz.
TPDES Authorization: TXR040241

Dear Team Leader:

This letter serves to transmit the required annual report for the Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System General Permit, Authorization Number TXR040241 for the City of Schertz.

The annual report is for Year 1. The reporting period's beginning October 4, 2025, and ending December 31, 2025.

As required by the general permit, a copy of the report has been mailed to the TCEQ's regional office 13 in San Antonio, Texas.

Sincerely,



Steve Williams

Phase II (Small) MS4 Annual Report Form
2024 TPDES General Permit Number TXR040000

- This annual report paper form is a temporary substitute for the electronic online NeT-MS4 system. Once the NeT-MS4 Annual Report module is available annual reports must be submitted electronically instead of hard copy using this form (TCEQ-20561).

A. General Information

Authorization Number: TXR040241

Reporting Year : 1

Reporting period beginning date: October 4, 2025

Reporting period end date: December 31, 2025

MS4 Operator Level: 3 Name of MS4: City of Schertz

Contact Name: Steve Williams Telephone Number: 210-619-1000

Mailing Address: 1400 Schertz Parkway, Building 2 Schertz, TX 78154

E-mail Address: steve.williams@schertz.com

A copy of the annual report was submitted to the TCEQ Region: YES

Region the annual report was submitted to: TCEQ Region 13

B. Status of Compliance with the MS4 GP and SWMP

1. Provide information on the status of complying with permit conditions:
(TXR040000 Part IV.B.2)

	Yes	No	Explain
Permittee is currently in compliance with the SWMP as required in the 2024 Phase II MS4 General Permit and certified in the approved NOI.	✓		Yes, the City of Schertz is compliant with the SWMP and General Permit approved October 2025
Permittee is currently in compliance with recordkeeping and reporting requirements.	✓		Yes, the City of Schertz is compliant and maintains recordkeeping and reporting requirements.
Permittee meets the eligibility requirements of the permit (e.g., TMDL requirements, Edwards Aquifer limitations, compliance history, etc.).	✓		Yes, the City of Schertz meets the eligibility requirements. The city is not regulated by a TMDL.
Permittee conducted an annual review of its SWMP in conjunction with preparation of the annual report.	✓		Yes, the City conducted review and no NOC was needed.

2. Provide a general assessment of the appropriateness of the selected BMPs. You may use the table below to meet this requirement

	BMP	BMP is appropriate for reducing the discharge of pollutants in stormwater (Answer Yes or No and explain)
	1.01 Information on the MS4 Operator Website	No, but this has indirect benefits. Helpful links and infographics can help educate the public about potential pollutants and contact information if pollutant discharges are observed.
	1.02 Social Media Posts, Social Media Campaign	No, but this may indirectly reduce potential pollutants. Educational posts can lead to awareness of possible pollutants that can be encountered by the community.
	1.03 Maintain or Mark Storm Drains and Inlets	No, but this may indirectly reduce potential pollutants. Logo that states the water "drains to creek" may deter illegal dumping or bring awareness to the community about how drainage systems lead to waterways.
	1.05 Publish Articles in Local Newspaper or	No, but this may indirectly reduce potential pollutants. Using the city's magazine can help educate the public on potential pollutants or how to contact the city if they may encounter pollutants.
	1.07 Permanent Stormwater Related Signage	No, but this may indirectly reduce potential pollutants. If a sign lists fines are associated with illegal dumping, it may deter such actions.
	2.01 Stream/ Lake or Watershed Clean Up Events	Yes, pollutants/ litter removed from watersheds can reduce pollutants in local waterways.
	2.04 Stormwater Related Speaker Series	No, but this can have indirect benefits. Educational events can lead to awareness of possible pollutants that can be encountered by the community.
	2.06 Hold Events to Train Residents, or Work on a Project for Homeowner Associations	No, but this can have indirect benefits. If there is a lack of participation from the community this is not the most effective BMP.

2.07 Educational Display/ Booth at a School or Public Event	No, but this can have indirect benefits. If there is a lack of participation from the community this is not the most effective BMP.
3.01 Maintain a Current and Accurate MS4 Map	Yes, knowing locations of environmentally sensitive areas can help identify if there has been pollutant discharge in the MS4 system.
3.02 Conduct Training for All the Permittee's Field Staff	Yes, training personnel who are out in the field regularly can help city employees identify, detect, and eliminate possible illicit discharges.
3.03 Maintain and Publicize Public Reporting Methods	Yes, this BMP is appropriate at reducing potential pollutants. If a city employee or member of the community encounters what appears to be an illicit discharge, they can alert the city so that they can investigate and take appropriate action.
3.04 Develop and Maintain Procedures for Responding to Reports	Yes, this BMP is appropriate. If an illicit discharge is detected, additional relevant authorities can be alerted, and the process of removal and documentation can be conducted.
3.05 Source Investigation and Elimination	Yes, this BMP is an appropriate means to reduce pollutant potential. If an illicit discharge is detected, additional relevant authorities can be alerted, and the process of removal and documentation can be conducted.
3.06 Corrective Action to Eliminate Illicit Discharges and Dumping	Yes, this BMP is appropriate for reducing the potential for pollutants.
3.07 Inspection Procedures	Yes, this BMP is appropriate for reducing the potential for pollutants.
3.08 Inspections in Response to Complaints	Yes, this BMP is appropriate for reducing the potential for pollutants. If during inspections, an illicit discharge or illegal dumping is encountered as a result of the complaint, the proper corrective action and enforcement procedure can address the pollutant.
3.09 Conduct Follow Up Investigations or Field Screenings	Yes, this BMP is appropriate for reducing the potential for pollutants. This ensures enforcement and corrective action is followed.

4.01 Develop and Maintain an Ordinance or Regulatory Mechanism	Yes, this BMP is appropriate. A regulatory mechanism allows the city and state to prohibit discharges.
4.02 Prohibit Discharges	Yes, this BMP is appropriate. A regulatory mechanism allows the city and state to prohibit discharges.
4.03 Maintain and Implement Site Plan Review Procedures	Yes, this BMP is appropriate. Procedures to review plans can reduce pollutant potential to ensure plans submitted comply with local, state, and federal laws.
4.04 Implement Procedures for Inspecting Construction Projects	Yes, this BMP is appropriate. Procedures for conducting inspections can reduce possible pollutants at construction projects by identifying pollutants and ensuring proper BMPs are installed.
4.05 Conduct Construction Site Inspections	Yes, this BMP is appropriate. Performing storm water pollution prevention plan inspections at construction sites can identify corrections needed to effectively reduce pollutant potential at a site.
4.06 Develop, Implement, and Maintain Procedures for Receipt and Consideration of	No, but this can have indirect benefits.
4.07 Conduct Training for all the MS4 Staff	Yes, this BMP is appropriate and can reduce potential pollutants.
4.08 Maintain a Construction Site Inventory	No, but this can have indirect benefits.
5.01 Develop and Maintain an Ordinance or Other Regulatory Mechanism	Yes, this BMP is appropriate and can reduce potential pollutants.

5.02 Document and Maintain Records of Enforcement Actions	No, but this can have indirect benefits.
5.03 Ensure Long Term Operation and Maintenance of Structural Stormwater Control	No, but this can have indirect benefits.
6.01 Permittee Owned Facilities and Control Inventory	No, but this can have indirect benefits.
6.02 Training and Education	Yes, this BMP is appropriate and can reduce potential pollutants.
6.03 Disposal of Waste Material	Yes, this BMP is appropriate and can reduce potential pollutants.
6.04 Contractor Requirements and Oversight	Yes, this BMP is appropriate and can reduce potential pollutants.
6.05 Assessment of Permittee Owned Operations	No, but this can have indirect benefits.
6.06 Identify Pollutants of Concern	Yes, this BMP is appropriate and can reduce potential pollutants.
6.07 Pollution Prevention Measures	Yes, this BMP is appropriate and can reduce potential pollutants.
6.08 Inspection of Pollution Prevention Measures	Yes, this BMP is appropriate and can reduce potential pollutants.
6.09 Structural Control Maintenance	No, but this can have indirect benefits.

6.10 Storm Sewer Operation and Maintenance Program	No, but this can have indirect benefits.
6.11 Storm sewer System Operation and Maintenance Problem Areas	No, but this can have indirect benefits.
6.12 Operation and Maintenance Program to Reduce Discharges of Pollutants from	Yes, this BMP is appropriate and can reduce potential pollutants.
6.13 Mapping of Facilities	No, but this can have indirect benefits.
6.14 Assessment of Facilities' Pollutant Discharge Potential	No, but this can have indirect benefits.
6.15 Identification of High Priority Facilities	Yes, this BMP is appropriate and can reduce potential pollutants.
6.16 Documentation of Assessment Results	No, but this can have indirect benefits.
6.17 Development of Facility-Specific SOPs	No, but this can have indirect benefits.
6.18 Stormwater Controls for High Priority Facilities, General Good Housekeeping	Yes, this BMP is appropriate and can reduce potential pollutants.
6.19 Stormwater Controls for High Priority Facilities, Deicing and anti-icing Material	No, but this can have indirect benefits. This material is not used in specific areas of Texas due to the warmer climate.

6.20 Stormwater Controls for High Priority Facilities, Fueling and Vehicle Maintenance	Yes, this BMP is appropriate and can reduce potential pollutants.
6.21 Stormwater Controls for High Priority Facilities, Equipment and Vehicle Washing	Yes, this BMP is appropriate and can reduce potential pollutants.
6.22 Inspections	No, but this can have indirect benefits.

3. Describe progress towards achieving the goal of reducing the discharge of pollutants to the MEP. If no progress was made or the BMP did not result in a reduction in pollutants, provide an explanation. Use the table below to meet this requirement (**see Example 2 in instructions**)

Please note, the BMPs that you report here must match the BMPs selected in NeT-MS4 on your approved NOI:

BMP	Information Used	Quantity	Units	Does the BMP Demonstrate a Direct Reduction in Pollutants? (Answer Yes or No and explain)
1.01	Information on the MS4 Operator Website	1	Website Updates	No, this does not result in a direct reduction. However, educational material can raise awareness and indirectly reduce in the future.
1.02	Social Media Posts, Social Media Campaign	0	Number of Posts	No, this does not result in a direct reduction.
1.03	"Drains to Creek" manhole covers	9 2	Manhole covers Inlet placards installed	Yes, BMP is appropriate. If logo is visible, illegal dumping could be deterred.

1.05	Articles Published in Local Newspaper or Newsletter	1	Magazine publications	No, this does not result in a direct reduction. However, educational material can raise awareness and indirectly reduce in the future.
2.01	Stream/ Lake or Watershed Clean Up Events	0	Events completed	Yes, this BMP is an effective way to reduce potential pollutants.
2.04	Stormwater Related Speaker Series	0	Sessions hosted	No, this does not result in a direct reduction. However, bringing awareness to the community may indirectly reduce in the future.
2.06	Hold Events to Train Residents, or Work a Project for Homeowner Associations	0	Events hosted	No, this does not result in a direct reduction. However, bringing awareness to the community may indirectly reduce in the future.
2.07	Educational Display / Booth at a School or Public Event	0	Booth attended at public events	No, this does not result in a direct reduction. However, bringing awareness to the community may indirectly reduce in the future.
3.01	Maintain a Current MS4 Map	0	Outfalls added	No, but this has indirect benefits.
		9	Inlets added	
3.02	Conduct Training for all Permittee's Field Staff	1	Trainings held	Yes, this BMP is an effective way to reduce potential pollutants.
3.03	Publicize and Maintain Public Reporting Methods	0	Reports received on hotline	Yes, discharges encountered during inspections can be documented and correction will be enforced.
3.04	Develop and Maintain Procedures to Responding to Reports	0	SOPs created	No, but this has indirect benefits.

3.05	Source investigation and elimination	0	Illegal dumping incidents	Yes, if community or city staff encounter pollutants from construction, the city can use enforcement and correction procedures.
3.06	Corrective Action to Eliminate Illicit Discharges and Dumping	0	Illegal dumping SOPs created	No, but this has indirect benefits.
3.07	Inspection Procedures	0	Internal department operational procedures reviews	No, but this has indirect benefits.
3.08	Inspections in response to complaints	0	SOPs created	No, but this has indirect benefits.
3.09	Follow Up Investigations or Field Screenings	0	SOPs created	No, but this has indirect benefits.
4.01	Develop or Maintain an Ordinance or Regulatory Mechanism	0	Ordinance updates	Yes, this BMP is an effective way to reduce potential pollutants.
4.02	Prohibit Discharges through an ordinance or other regulatory mechanism	0	Design/Standard detail revisions	Yes, this BMP is an effective way to reduce potential pollutants.
4.03	Site Plan review procedures	0	Adopted revisions	No, but this can have indirect benefits.
4.04	Construction Project inspection procedures	0	Procedure revisions	Yes, this BMP is an effective way to reduce potential pollutants.
4.05	Conduct Construction site inspections	1446	Inspections completed by the engineering department	Yes, this BMP is an effective way to reduce potential pollutants.

4.06	Procedures for receipt and consideration of information submitted by the public	0	Construction site stormwater runoff complaints received by the public	Yes, if pollutants are discovered during investigation, it can directly reduce pollutants.
4.07	Conduct training for all MS4 staff	11/13/2025	Date of training held	No, this does not result in a direct reduction. However, educating City Staff on identifying pollutants or pollutant causing activities can reduce that potential.
4.08	Construction site inventory	97	Newly added active construction permits	No, but it can have indirect benefits. Knowing where active construction sites are in the municipality can ensure stormwater pollution prevention measures are followed.
5.01	Develop or Maintain an Ordinance or Regulatory Mechanism	0	Ordinance updates	Yes, this BMP is an effective way to reduce potential pollutants.
5.02	Document and maintain records of enforcement actions	0	Enforcement actions	No, but this has indirect benefits.
5.03	Long term operation and maintenance of structural stormwater control measures	97 1446	Construction Plans reviewed SWPPP inspections completed	No, but this has indirect benefits. Plan reviews can identify stormwater features and inspections can enforce proper SWPPP procedures have been followed.
5.03	Contractor Requirements and Oversight	0	Amendments made to contract documents by reviewing with the city attorney & purchasing department	Yes, this has the potential to reduce possible pollutants. However, the cost associated with certain pollution prevention measures may not work for certain budgets.
6.01, 6.13	Permittee owned facilities	0	New facilities	No, but this has indirect benefits.

6.02	Training for employees	48	Training Attendees	Yes, this BMP is an effective way to reduce potential pollutants.
6.03	Solid Waste disposal in accordance with 30 TAC Chapters 330 or 335	0	Development code revisions	No, but this has indirect benefits.
6.04	Contractor specific SOPs	0	SOPs created	No, but this has indirect benefits.
6.05,6.08, 6.22	Operation and maintenance activities	8	Number of high priority facilities inspected	Yes, this BMP is an effective way to reduce potential pollutants.
6.06	Identify pollutants of concern	0	SDS sheets with spills reported	No, but this has indirect benefits.
6.07	Applications of deicing and anti-icing agents	N/A	Amount applied	No, but this has indirect benefits. This is not applicable for portions of Texas that rarely see icing conditions.
6.09	Structural control maintenance	0	Structural control facility inspection SOPs	No, but this has indirect benefits.
6.10	System operation and maintenance program (owned and operated by the Permittee)	0 0	Detention basins inspected Inlets inspected	No, but this has indirect benefits.
6.11	Potential problem areas for increased inspection	0	Newly identified locations	Yes, this BMP is an effective way to reduce potential pollutants.
6.12	Street sweeping program	2,127	Miles swept	Yes, this BMP is an effective way to reduce potential pollutants.
6.14-6.20	Assessment of facilities' pollutant discharge potential	0	Facility list revisions	No, but this has indirect benefits.
6.21	Stormwater controls for high priority facilities, equipment and vehicle washing	2	Car wash locations	No, but this has indirect benefits.

4. Provide the measurable goals for each of the MCMs, and an evaluation of the success of the implementation of the measurable goals:

Please note, the BMPs and measurable goals that you report here must match the BMPs and corresponding measurable goals selected in NeT-MS4 on your approved NOI:

MCM(s)	Measurable Goal(s)	Explain progress toward goal or how goal was achieved. If goal was not accomplished, please explain.
1	Information on the MS4 operator website	Goal not met during annual reporting year due to timeline constraint for this annual report – An update for the City’s stormwater management page was completed in September 2025 to add helpful links and re-organize the website’s layout. https://schertz.com/167/Storm-Water-Management
1	Social media posts / awareness campaigns	Goal not met – during this annual report timeframe, however a social media post was made in July 2025, August 2025, and an awareness campaign was posted September 2025.
1	Maintain or mark storm drains and inlets	Progress made – New inlets constructed use “no dumping, drains to creek manhole lids”, but older inlets had placards installed at highly visible areas.
1	Schertz Magazine publications	December 2025 issue – Goal not met due to annual reporting year timeline constraint.
1	Permanent stormwater related signage	Goal met – no dumping signs still posted in relevant/highly visible locations.
2	Stream clean up events	Goal not met – during this annual report timeframe, however the city will host a cleanup event again in March 2026.
2	Stormwater related speaker series sessions	Goal not met during this annual report timeframe.
2	Events to train residents, or work a project with homeowner associations.	Goal not met during this annual report timeframe.
2	Educational display / booth at a public event	Goal not met during this annual report timeframe.

2	Review ILA with surrounding counties regarding OSSF inspection and maintenance.	Progressed toward goal – ILAs for each of three counties in review. Agreements maintained again this year with Guadalupe County.
2	Illicit Discharge Ordinance, review and authorization of code	Progress toward goal – Ordinance review began during permit year and will continue with potential updates if deemed necessary.
2	Illicit Discharge and Dumping Hotline	Met Goal – the City’s “311” system receives comments and concerns from the community. Responded to any concerns reported.
3	Maintain a current MS4 map	Met goal – any new inventory is updated with the storm water layer on a GIS utility map.
3	Conduct training for all the Permittee’s field staff	Met goal – Training hosted in November 2025.
3	Maintain and publicize public reporting methods	Met goal – 311 and online reporting methods are advertised in the city’s social media, local magazine, and are posted on the city’s website.
3	Develop and maintain procedures for responding to reports	Goal not met during this annual report timeframe.
3	Source investigation and elimination	Met goal – reports of illegal discharge has been documented in CityView.
3	Corrective action to eliminate illicit discharges and dumping	Goal not met – SOP for illicit discharges was not created.
3	Inspection procedures	Goal not met – SOP for inspections was not created.
3	Conduct follow up investigations	Goal not met – SOP for follow up investigations was not created.
3	Update criteria for construction runoff	Met Goal – Weekly department meetings note any possible deficiencies in different permitting stages to be added to conditions.

3	Construction plan review criteria	Met Goal – Engineering, Planning, and fire inspector all review permits and address comments routinely during weekly department meetings.
3	Perform Construction Site Inspection for all Permitted Sites	Met Goal – Recurring inspections made by inspectors in the City’s Engineering Department. Inspection results submitted through “CityView” program for recordkeeping and communication between City and construction personnel.
3	Construction stormwater management ordinance – legislation review	Met Goal – current legislation meets the criteria. No meeting with the attorney was needed to alter existing authority.
3	Construction runoff hotline; Respond to 100% of concerns reported	Met Goal - any concerns reported to the City’s hotline were investigated and a construction runoff fact sheet was published in the city’s magazine that addressed this topic.
4	Develop and maintain an ordinance or regulatory mechanism	Met goal – no revisions or updates created.
4	Prohibit discharges	Met goal – no adopted revisions to ordinance.
4	Maintain and implement site plan review procedures	Met goal – Engineering, Planning, and fire inspector all review permits and address comments routinely during weekly department meetings.
4	Implement procedures for inspecting construction sites	Met goal – the city inspects large and small construction projects.
4	Conduct construction site inspections	Met goal – the engineering department completed 1,446 during this annual report timeframe. SWPPP inspections were completed for the following construction permits: PRCR, PRDU, PRGR, PRNR, PRPI, and PRSF.

4	Develop, implement, and maintain procedures for receipt and consideration of information submitted by the public	Met goal - the City's "311" system receives comments and concerns from the community. Responded to any concerns reported.
4	Training for all the MS4 staff	Met goal – Public Works hosted a training in November 2025.
4	Maintain a construction site inventory	Met goal – the city uses "CityView" for construction permits and requires stormwater pollution prevention at sites disturbing soil greater than 1/10 th of an acre.
4	Evaluate adoption of Low Impact Development (LID)	Progressed toward goal. City staff have started to pursue code enforcement of unimproved surfaces. Planning department has made Green Infrastructure a priority for upcoming ordinance updates.
4	Review of all Site Plans for Compliance (Post Construction)	Met Goal – Site plans that are public infrastructure are inspected both one- and two-years post construction. As-Builts are required to be submitted to the City ensuring the compliance of plans.
4	Post-Construction stormwater management ordinance	Goal not met – stormwater ordinance will be updated with the new position made for a MS4/Floodplain administrator position.
5	Develop and maintain an ordinance or other regulatory mechanism	Met goal – no adopted revisions or updates to ordinance.
5	Document and maintain records of enforcement actions	Met goal – any concerns reported to the City were investigated.
5	Long term operation and maintenance of structural stormwater control measures installed	Met goal – 100% of construction plans that meet the land disturbance criteria were reviewed. New BMPs added were inspected at public and private construction projects.
6	Permitee-owned facilities and control inventory	

6	Provide training to new employees on identifying illicit discharges	Goal not met – however multiple departments received training in November of 2025.
6	Disposal of waste material	Met goal – waste is disposed of in accordance with 30 TAC Chapters 330 or 335.
6	Contractor requirements and oversight required to comply with stormwater control measures	Met goal – departments manage contracts and ensure language includes SWPPP and TCEQ construction permit requirements.
6	Evaluate O&M activities for potential to discharge pollutants	Goal not met – the city’s current operation and maintenance is necessary to help accommodate for the aging infrastructure and rapidly growing population. The budget for personnel and materials is not practical for this BMP.
6	Identify pollutants of concern that could be discharged from all the operation and maintenance activities	Goal not met – the city was not able to fully inspect each activity during this annual report’s timeframe.
6	Track application of deicing and anti-icing compounds in the city area	Met goal – no deicing material was applied during this annual report’s timeframe.
6	Inspect 100% of pollution measures implemented at city owned facilities	Met goal – Inspections of high priority facilities was completed during the timeframe of this annual report.
6	Perform maintenance of all structural controls and develop written procedures for the frequency of inspections	Goal not met during this annual report’s timeframe.

6	Storm system operation and maintenance program to reduce to the MEP the collection of pollutants	Goal not met during the timeframe for this annual report. However, the city was able to perform inspections on at least 25% of city owned and operated detention basins, and 20% of inlets in problem areas.
6	Storm system operation and maintenance problem areas	Met goal – the city is still actively working on identifying areas with recurrent illegal dumping.
6	Street sweeping and cleaning program	Met goal – however during the three months of this annual report, only a portion of miles were swept compared to a full calendar year.
6	Maintain map of municipal facilities and stormwater controls	Met goal – New municipal facilities and stormwater controls are updated with the GIS department.
6	Assessment of facilities' pollutant discharge potential	Met goal – no updates were added to the city's high priority facilities inventory list.
6	Identification of high priority facilities	Met goal- no changes or updates to the inventory.
6	Documentation of assessment results	Met goal – high priority facilities were inspected, and inspection reports were completed.
6	Development of facility specific SOPs	Goal not met during the timeframe for this annual report.
6	Stormwater controls for high priority facilities, general good housekeeping	Goal not met during the timeframe for this annual report.
6	Stormwater controls for high priority facilities, deicing and anti-icing material storage	Goal not met during the timeframe for this annual report. No deicing material was used.
6	Stormwater controls for high priority facilities , fueling and vehicle maintenance	Goal not met during the timeframe for this annual report.

6	Stormwater controls for high priority facilities, equipment and vehicle washing	Met goal – city vehicles use designated local car washes.
6	Inspections high priority facilities	Met goal – high priority facilities were inspected.

C. Stormwater Data Summary

Provide a summary of all information used, including any lab results (if sampling was conducted) to assess the success of the SWMP at reducing the discharge of pollutants to the MEP. For example, did the MS4 conduct visual inspections, clean the inlets, look for illicit discharge, clean streets, look for flow during dry weather, etc.?

- Note: The City of Schertz has not conducted sampling, the following activities are strategies used to reduce pollutants to the MEP.
 - The City of Schertz visually inspected trash traps and other areas of concern monthly to ensure floatables and other pollutants do not reach outfalls.
 - Regular monitoring is conducted in locations more susceptible to illegal dumping, known as "Hot Spots", and any concerns observed by the community or City of Schertz employees can be reported on the local Hotline.
 - Monitored the sweeping of streets to ensure the reduction of pollutants to the MEP. The goal is to sweep the streets monthly to ensure the removal of the potential pollutants.
 - Inspections of all construction sites to reduce the discharge of sediment or other pollutants from land disturbing activities.
 - The city updated the "Drainage Fee rate" to fund drainage related projects, hire additional drainage personnel that are responsible for inspections and maintenance, and a designated MS4/Floodplain Administrator position.

D. Impaired Waterbodies

1. Identify whether an impaired water within the permitted area was added to the latest EPA-approved 303(d) list or the ***Texas Integrated Report of Surface Water Quality for CWA Sections 305(b) and 303(d)***. List any newly-identified impaired waters below by including the name of the water body and the cause of impairment.
 - According to the *Texas Integrated Report- Water Bodies and Impairments Added to the Texas 303(d) List*, there are no newly identified impaired waters within the permitted area in between the dates listed for this annual report.

- <https://www.tceq.texas.gov/downloads/water-quality/assessment/integrated-report-2024/2024new-listings>
2. If applicable, explain below any activities taken to address the discharge to impaired waterbodies, including any sampling results and a summary of the small MS4's BMPs used to address the pollutant of concern.
 - No TMDLs have been set for the receiving bodies of water for the City in the dates for this annual report.
 3. Describe the implementation of targeted controls if the small MS4 discharges to an impaired water body with an approved TMDL.
 - There are no TMDLs in the stream the MS4 discharges to.
 4. Report the benchmark identified by the MS4 and assessment activities:
 - MS4 has no TMDLs, see Measurable Goals section above.
 5. Provide an analysis of how the selected BMPs will be effective in contributing to achieving the benchmark:
 - There are no TMDLs in the segment of streams to which the MS4 discharges.
 6. If applicable, report on focused BMPs to address impairment for bacteria: **N/A**
 7. Assess the progress to determine BMP's effectiveness in achieving the benchmark.
N/A

E. Stormwater Activities

Describe activities planned for the next reporting year:

MCM(s)	BMP	Stormwater Activity	Description/Comments
1	1.01	Information on the MS4 Operator Website	Maintain the city's Stormwater Management web page and update with any relevant data or helpful information on stormwater.
1	1.02	Social media posts	The city will post seasonally appropriate stormwater related posts on social media.
1	1.05	Publish articles in local newspaper or newsletter	Publish at least two magazine articles or fact sheets on stormwater topics.
2	2.01	Watershed cleanup events	The city shall host two cleanup events. The first event, <i>Clean the Creek</i> , is scheduled for March 21, 2026.
2	2.04	Stormwater related speaker series	The city will host two sessions about stormwater quality through different speakers and audiences.
2	2.07	Educational Display/Booth at a public event	City shall provide a booth at the new farmer's market event, "Hometown Harvest". City employees will have educational materials and answer questions from residents.
3	3.01	Maintain a current MS4 map	The city will update GIS maps with any new drainage features.
3	3.02	Conduct training for all field staff	City shall provide training to all departments with field personnel on how to recognize illicit discharges, illegal dumping, or illicit connections.

3	3.03 – 3.09	Maintain and publicize public reporting methods	The city shall use the established hotline (311) and a web complaint option. Meeting between different departments on training and create an SOP for thorough documentation.
4	4.01-4.02	Develop and maintain an ordinance or regulatory mechanism	The city will review and plans to adopt revisions with the new MS4 position joining the city's engineering department.
4	4.03-4.04	Procedures for site plan review and inspecting construction projects	The city will review procedures and document any updates.
4	4.05	Conduct Construction Site Inspections	City will continue to have site inspections at 100% of new permitted sites within the local jurisdiction.
4	4.06	Procedures for receipt and consideration for information submitted by the public	The city shall log all information submitted by the public in regard to stormwater concerns.
4	4.07	Conduct training for all the MS4 staff	The city will conduct a training whose primary job duties are related to implementing the construction stormwater program.
4	4.08	Maintain a construction site inventory	The city shall keep track of active construction sites within their jurisdiction by using CityView.
5	5.01-5.03	Post construction stormwater management	The city will evaluate the current ordinance 21.13.5, and adopt any revisions if deemed appropriate. The city will also review 100% of construction plans for SWPPPs that meet the land disturbance criteria currently adopted by TXR15000.
6	6.01	Permittee owned facilities and control inventory	The city will maintain its inventory and update as needed.
6	6.02	Training for employees	The city will maintain a list of dates and names of employees in attendance for trainings.
6	6.07	Pollution Prevention Measures	The city shall track all applications of deicing and anti-icing compounds used by the city for maintenance procedures.

6	6.10	Storm Sewer System Operation and Maintenance Program	City shall inspect at least 25% of owned and operated detention basins and inspect at least 20% of the MS4's owned and operated inlets in identified problem areas.
6	6.12	Operation and Maintenance Program to Reduce Discharges of Pollutants from Roads	The city will maintain logs of street sweeping mileage, man hours and maintenance annually.
6	6.22	Inspections	The city will continue to inspect the identified high-priority facilities and update as needed.

F. SWMP Modifications

1. The SWMP and MCM implementation procedures are reviewed each year.

☒ Yes ☐ No

2. Changes have been made or are proposed to the SWMP since the NOI or the last annual report, including changes in response to TCEQ's review.

☐ Yes ☒ No

G. Additional BMPs for TMDLs and I-Plans

Provide a description and schedule for implementation of additional BMPs that may be necessary, based on monitoring results, to ensure compliance with applicable TMDLs and implementation plans.

N/A

H. Additional Information

1. Is the permittee relying on another entity to satisfy any permit obligations?

☐ Yes ☒ No

- 2.a. Is the permittee part of a group sharing a SWMP with other entities?

☐ Yes ☒ No

- 2.b. If "yes," is this a system-wide annual report including information for all permittees?

N/A

I. Construction Activities

1. The number of construction activities that occurred in the jurisdictional area of the MS4 (Large and Small Site Notices submitted by construction site operators):

97

- 2a. Does the permittee utilize the optional eighth MCM related to construction?

☐ Yes ☒ No

J. Certification

Each permittee shall sign and certify the annual report in accordance with 30 TAC §305.128 (relating to Signatories to Reports). If this is this a system-wide annual report include information and signatures for all permittees.

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Name (printed): Steve Williams Title: City Manager

Signature: _____

Date: 03/16/2026

Name of MS4: City of Schertz